UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/595,171	11/27/2006	Brian Chandler	W004 P01311-US 3859			
	7590 10/06/200 SEPHS & HOLMES, L	EXAMINER				
101 DYER STE		HOOK, JAMES F				
5TH FLOOR PROVIDENCE	, RI 02903	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			3754			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			10/06/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)			
		10/595,171		CHANDLER, BRIA	AN	
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		James F. Hook		3754		
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	appears on the cove	r sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress	
A SHO WHIC - Exten after 9 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staticately preceived by the Office later than three months after the maded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS CO 1.136(a). In no event, how od will apply and will expire tute, cause the application t	OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed ne mailing date of this coorsists (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) TI Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-fin	rmal matters, pro		e merits is	
Dispositio	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicatio 9)□ 1	Claim(s) <u>28-51</u> is/are pending in the applicated Application Applicated Application Applicated Application Applicated Application A	rawn from consider d/or election require	ement.			
· 	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	he drawing(s) be held ection is required if th	in abeyance. See e drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	, ,	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai Notice of Informal Pa Other:	e		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 28, 29, 33-39, 43-45, 48, 50, and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Endo (440). The reference to Endo discloses the recited tube comprising an elongated web of heat sealable plastic material through a former into a host pipe 10 with longitudinal edges of the web overlapping as seen in figure 2a-d by a second amount less than the first amount when the tube is expanded, a heat sealing means 6 is provided at the seam near the overlapping edges to allow tube to be expanded and then sealed, where the heat sealing means is a wire strip, the wire strip is mounted to a plastic strip 7 which bonds the wires to the web, the strip is attached at each overlapping edge, the strip runs along the length of the overlapping edges, the sealing means is applied in factory conditions or on site where such is method limitations that have little patentable weight to the final article, and the method of lining a pipe is also disclosed, where control of the power to the wires is considered inherent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3754

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 30-32, 40-42, 46, 47, and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endo. The reference to Endo discloses all of the recited structure with the exception of using a hot iron, laser, or infrared heater to provide the heat sealing, sequencing the power to the wire, and abrading the surface of the web material prior to bonding the strip thereto, however, such are considered merely choices of mechanical expedients where one skilled in the art would only require routine experimentation to arrive at the optimum method of heat sealing, including sequencing power to the wire to prevent over heating as such would only require routine skill in the art to avoid damaging the web material thereby insuring a proper installation and saving money in premature repair costs. It is considered old and well known in the art to abrade materials before attempting to adhere materials thereto to improve the bond and it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to abrade the surface to which the strip is to be adhered to insure proper bonding and save money in premature failure of the sleeve due to the wires coming away from the web before it was sealed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 20, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With regards to the arguments directed at Endo being stitched, the stitches do not pass directly through the seam itself and after inverting such the circumference of the tube extent will get larger for the inner layer as it inverts and

inherently the overlap would change at least some amount, and since the claim language does not specify any specific amount of change in overlap it is considered any inherent change in overlap would meet the claim language no matter how small a change that may be. With respect to the heat seal, when the thermosetting resin is heated by the wires it in effect seals the seam which provides the heat seal of the seam, and tape 9 also assists in this as well. Once cured the resin would inherently prevent the egress of material as would the tape 9, with respect to this argument however, such is merely intended use for the sleeve structure and Endo is capable of providing the protection against egress once cured, and the prior art need not be directed to solving the same problem to meet the claim language.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references to Maimets (862 and 026), Catallo (481 and 887), Fisco, Penza, and Pouchkarev disclosing state of the art repair sleeves.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James F. Hook whose telephone number is (571) 272-4903. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James F. Hook/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3754

Page 5